

CIS 2109 Lab4

Scott O’Hara


1)Yes



2)




3)




4)

**Equijoin**)




4)

**Inner join**)





4)


**Natural join)**




5)A)




5) B)




5) C)




6) A)



6) B)




6) C)




7)


1.



2.




3.






8)





I believe you should use both first and last name. With a small sample size using just first name is a possible option but that approach won’t scale. If two customers had the same first name you would have to use an additional column to differentiate the customer.





9)






10)












11)






12)






13)

A)




B)



C) not complete

14)

1.



2. not complete

3. not complete

4. not complete